

Appendix 4

The plan and environmental report has been in a hearing in Germany, Sweden and Poland. The statements are summarized and divided into the following section:

H. Germany (141 statements)

I. Sweden (6 statements)

J. Poland (1 statement)

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
H1	Aktionsbündnis gegen eine feste Fehmarnbeltquerung	<i>Trans boundary effects have been neglected and as a consequence the SEA does not live up to the demands of the SEA protocol.</i> <i>Lack of information regarding amount and type of waste.</i> <i>The health impacts are not covered sufficiently in the SEA.</i> <i>There is no summary table showing an overview of the findings related to the six locations.</i> <i>The SEA does not fulfil the requirements of a Strategic Environmental Assessment.</i>	<i>The Danish SEA process has failed to abide by the respective regulations of the SEA protocol.</i> <i>The German public has been deprived their legal right to participate in the Danish SEA process.</i> <i>The Danish Ministry of Health has failed to consider the demands according to the Espoo convention and its SEA protocol at an early stage of the process.</i> <i>The SEA process is invalid and the entire process should be repeated.</i> <i>The German public was not informed about the SEA I accordance with the common procedures in the German Administration Procedure Act.</i> <i>The environmental report should have been translated into the local language of the party affected.</i> <i>The background documents presented for the Danish public should also be available to the parties affected in their local language.</i> <i>The German public was not given any chance of participating in the hearing of the scoping or to participate in any scoping sessions.</i>
H2	Alois Musolf		<i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H3	Ananda J. Albert standardsvar	<i>General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.</i> <i>Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse.</i>	<i>References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed.</i> <i>Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.</i>
H4	Andreas Holste	<i>Conflict with Natura 2000 and bird conservation areas.</i>	<i>References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed.</i>

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
H5	Angelika und Reinhard Zentner		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H6	Anja Müller		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H7	Annegret Ewerth-Wilder		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H8	Annegret Weilandt		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H9	Annette Schulze		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H10	Annika Schmidtsdorff		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H11	Antje Borgwardt	• <i>Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.</i> • <i>Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.</i> • <i>Concerns of decline in fishing industry</i> • <i>Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel.</i> • <i>Concerns that house prices will fall significantly.</i> • <i>Is concerned by the footprint of the repository and has understood that the facility will cover the entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and fears that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.</i>	
H12	Antje Wilder		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H13	A. Wenner-Binding und Volker Binding	• <i>Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.</i> • <i>Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.</i> • <i>Concerns of decline in fishing industry.</i> • <i>Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel.</i> • <i>Concerns that house prices will fall significantly.</i> • <i>Is concerned by the footprint of the repository and has understood that the facility will cover the entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and fears that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.</i>	
H14	Barbara u. Peter Haltermann		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H15	Benjamin Köneking, Wencke Köneking	• <i>Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events and impact on health.</i> • <i>Fehmarn is dependent on tourism.</i>	
H16	Birgit Kähler	• <i>Concerns about effects on human health.</i>	
H17	Birgit Wendel	• <i>Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events and impact on health.</i> • <i>Fehmarn is dependent on tourism.</i>	
H18	Birte Wagener-Ehler		• <i>Against a final repository in Denmark</i>
H19	Bodo Gehrke	• <i>General concerns regarding an accident and the impacts on human health and the biology as a whole.</i> • <i>Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse</i>	• <i>References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed.</i> • <i>Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been</i>

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
			addressed in the EIA for all six possible sites.
H20	Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz, Bau- und Reaktorsicherheit	Possible misunderstanding regarding the half time of the waste, facilities close to the surface should only be chosen for half times of several hundreds of years (which is the case for the waste in question).	General recommendation to collaborate closer between Germany and Denmark in cross-border matters.
H21	Bärbel Schley	- General security concerns about human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns regarding climate change and flooding. - Concerns regarding traffic. - Questions the technical issues and whether the repository can be made safe enough.	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the EIA for all six possible sites.
H22	Bärbel und Holger Bartels		Against a final repository in Denmark
H23	Carolin Rickert		Against a final repository in Denmark
H24	Christa Woitalla		Against a final repository in Denmark
H25	Christian Haltermann	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concern that the facility can become a target for terrorism. - Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H26	Christian und Alexandra Gubi		Against a final repository in Denmark
H27	Christiane Schumann	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the EIA for all six possible sites.	References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed.
H28	Michel Kirchholtes	- Concerns about effects on fertility of horses (the person breeds horses) and future generations in case of a nuclear accident. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns to expose resident Dolphins to radiation, which are a protected species in the area. - General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse.	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the environmental assessment for all six possible sites.
H29	Christiane Stodt-Kirchholtes Ingo Kirchholtes Davide Kirchholtes Claudio Kirchholtes Michel Kirchholtes -	- Concerns about effects on fertility of horses (the person breeds horses) and future generations in case of a nuclear accident. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns to expose resident Dolphins to radiation, which are a protected species in the area. - General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly.	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the environmental assessment for all six possible sites.
H30	Christine Albert	General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed.

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
		- Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly.	Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the environmental assessment for all six possible sites.
H31	Christoph Prange	Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H32	Clas Schröder Galileo- Wissenwelt	Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H33	Claudia Zimmermann	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	
H34	Claus Küster	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Plan is putting the sensitive micro-region Fehmarn-Lolland at risk.	
H35	D.Henrici		Against a final repository in Denmark
H36	Dagmar Prange	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	
H37	Detlev, Monika und Michael Timm	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concern that the area will become a target for terrorism.	
H38	Dirk Lafrenz		Against a final repository in Denmark
H39	Doris Dickert		Against a final repository in Denmark
H40	Doris Weiland	General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	
H41	Dörte Liebold		Against a final repository in Denmark
H42	Eberhard und Marita Arent	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the environmental assessment for all six possible sites.
H43	Eike Torben Weilandt		Against a final repository in Denmark
H44	Ernst-Werner Bluhm	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H45	Familie Neumann		Against a final repository in Denmark

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
H46	Familie Rüder		Against a final repository in Denmark
H47	Familie Wittich		Against a final repository in Denmark
H48	Frank Bolte		Against a final repository in Denmark
H49	Frank Neumann	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that facility will cover the entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and fears that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.	
H50	Franziska und Stefan Winter	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H51	G.Rießen	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H52	Georg Weilandt		Against a final repository in Denmark
H53	Gottfried, Susanne und Thore Lange	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H54	Gunnar Gerth-Hansen	- Concerns of decline in fishing industry - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	Frustration about tunnel and other issues not related to this particular plan and SEA.
H55	H. Ortgies	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel." - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that facility will cover the entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and fears that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.	
H56	Hajo Nötzel	- Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the EIA for all six possible sites. - Geological requirements not guaranteed for Rødby - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse.	Suspicion that nuclear waste traffic through the tunnel is calculated as an income for the Danish government.
H57	Hajo Nötzel	(Answered twice due to problems with mail address)	
H58	Hans-Jürgen	Misunderstanding about dimensioning of the facility	Frustration about tunnel and other issues not related to this

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
	Schimpf	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel.	particular plan and SEA.
H59	Hans-Jürgen Tölle		Against a final repository in Denmark
H60	Hans-Peter Rauert	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces. - Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H61	Hans-Peter Witt und Familie	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces.	
H62	Heinke Rickert		Against a final repository in Denmark
H63	Helga und Carsten Lübke		Against a final repository in Denmark
H64	Helga Wohler		Against a final repository in Denmark
H65	Hella Makowski		Against a final repository in Denmark
H66	Hilke Höper.	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces.	
H67	Ingeborg Kokernak und Martin Stein		Against a final repository in Denmark
H68	Ingo Heisler	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H69	Ingrid und Eberhard Toillié	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel.	
H70	Iris & Andreas Riessen		Against a final repository in Denmark
H71	Jana Klocksinn		Against a final repository in Denmark
H72	Jan-Hendrik Kreil Janina Kreil Lucia Kreil Julian Kreil	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry - Concerns due to proximity to the water	
H73	Jeanine Schell Heinrich Scheel	Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
		Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces.	
H74	Jens-Niko Höpner	Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	Not serious to place critical facilities close to border
H75	Johann Peters	Concerns about effect on human health and ground water.	
H76	Josefine Jadzejewski		Against a final repository in Denmark
H77	Jürgen und Renate Ueckert	- Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H78	Jürgen und Renate Ueckert	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse.	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.
H79	Jörg Reckers (Deutsches Umweltministerium) (Same letter as H20)	Possible misunderstanding regarding the half time of the waste, facilities close to the surface should only be chosen for half times of several hundreds of years (which is the case for the waste in question).	General recommendation to collaborate closer between Germany and Denmark in cross-border matters.
H80	Jörg Weber	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces. - Fear of loss of employment.	
H81	Jörn Henning Kohlhoff	Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H82	Karin Neumann	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that facility will cover the entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and connected fear that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries. - Concern that the facility can become a target for terrorism.	
H83	Karl Albert	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.
H84	Karl-Heinz Schröter,	Concerns that patients will not choose to be treated on Fehmarn if in direct proximity to a nuclear waste	

Statement	Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
Therapeutikum WestFehmarn	<i>facility.</i> - Concern that the facility can become a target for terrorism. - Critical location with Fehmarnbelt connection as bottleneck for traffic. - Concerns about cross-border disagreements and cultural enmity if waste facility becomes reality.	
H85 Kerstin Berger Alfred Berger Max Berger Saskia Berger		Against a final repository in Denmark
H86 Klaus Golomb	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events as dikes are not likely to withstand the increased sea level rise. - Concerns about increased outbreak of cancer for people living in direct proximity of the facility. - Concerns about corrosion of containers due to proximity to the sea.	Suspicion that maintenance of the facility can only be guaranteed if Denmark accepts nuclear waste from abroad, involving transport of nuclear waste across the island.
H87 Klaus und Anke Marquardt	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H88 Larissa Koeneking	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H89 Liane Aldehoff	- Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H90 Magrit und Hans-Jacob Marquardt		Against a final repository in Denmark
H91 Mai-Britt Anders	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that the facility will cover the entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and connected fear that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.	
H92 Marlene Albert (Wellness Physiotherapie Yoga)	- Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Fehmarn - Concerns about water contamination - Asking for financial compensation in case of damage of business and/or health. - Concern about loss of house value.	

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
H93	Manuel Köneking	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns about effect on human health and ground water. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H94	Margret Peters	Concerns about effect on human health and ground water.	
H95	Mario und Ute Fullmann	- General concerns regarding security in case of an accident and possible impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H96	Marion Ogriseck	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H97	Marion Schmidt Vermieterin und Vorsitzende des Fremdenverkehrs vereins Westfehmarn Und Hans- Heinrich Schmidt Landwirt	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	Frustration about tunnel and other issues not related to the plan and SEA.
H98	Melitta Koeneking	- General concerns regarding security in case of an accident and possible impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H99	Michael & Susanne Klein	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns about effect on human health and ground water.	
H100	Michéle John (Rechtsanwälte Günther) (Response H117 is the attachment to response H100).	- If Rødbyhavn is appointed as the nuclear waste facility emphasis is given to the (negative) cumulative effects that the construction of the femernbelt-crossing will cause and that this will be catastrophic for the whole region. - In connection with the concern is that tourism will decline on in the whole region of Ostholstein, which is the major economic driver for the area and the majority. - It is criticised that the provided information about levels of current and future quantities of waste is inadequate. - It is further criticised that the non-technical summary fails to provide information on the planned criteria of the waste facility in terms of the quantities of waste and its radiation intensity - It is criticised that the risk analysis concerning the transport of the radioactive waste does not appear from the non-technical summary or in German language at all for what reason its quality cannot be assured. - It is criticised that a 0-alternative is not a part of the assessment. - It is criticised that it does not appear from the documents why the six localities have been selected (out	- Requests to be continually informed about and included in the process. - Criticises that the documents describing the Danish plans for a nuclear waste facility are not sufficiently available cf. the German consolidation Act on Environmental Assessments (§ 9b Abs. 2 UVPG). - It is further criticised that the documents describing the Danish plans for a nuclear waste facility apart from a short non-technical summary are not available in German language.

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
H101	Ministerium für Energiewende, Landwirtschaft, Umwelt und Ländliche Räume des Landes Schleswig-Holstein	- of a total of originally 22 locations). - Proximity to the sea at Rødbyhavn raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - It is criticised that the geological circumstances is not adequately described.	Requests to be continually informed about and included in the process.
		- The ministry points out that the localisation of the waste facility at Rødbyhavn alone can result in a noticeable reduction in the proceeds from the tourism industry on Fehmarn due to the concerns of accidents and that the provided documents do not disprove this concern. - There is a noticeable public concern that a nuclear waste facility in Rødbyhavn could result in transport of foreign nuclear waste through Germany. - Express concern that the special waste (the nuclear fuel elements) will be deposited in Rødbyhavn if no other suitable location is found; this will not be in accordance with international standards. - It is emphasised that no accident case spectrum (Entails identification and classification of possible accidents) and that further information on the following issues are needed: - The waste - The concept for the waste facility - The design of the waste facility - The operation of the waste facility - Possible accidents - The transportation of the waste to the waste facility	
H102	Ministerium für Inneres und Sport Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Gudrun Beneicke		- Recognizes the fact that it is too early to raise concerns to the waste facility. - Recommend to consider environmental impacts during the planning phase. Request to be kept informed when plans have become more definite. - Furthermore PRAISE for Denmark for handling delicate matters such as radioactive waste in a respectful and professional manner towards his neighbouring countries.
H103	Miriam Lange	- Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Is worried that residents will choose to live elsewhere, all of which may cause structural degeneration of the area.	
H104	Stefan Wenzel - Niedersächsische Minister für Umwelt, Energie und Klimaschutz	- Expresses particular concern about the localities near Limfjorden in Jutland as possible leaks can effect Niedersachsen, which also bordering the North Sea. - Criticises the Danish classification of the nuclear waste as “short term” (up to 300 years). - The longer time horizon raises concern about possible leaks from a waste facility near the surface of the earth due to changes in the climate. - It is emphasised that the Danish plans for a nuclear waste facility near the surface of the earth is not in accordance with the EU directive 2011/70 EURATOM.	The entire selection process is invalid and must to be redone and conducted on the basis of new eligibility criteria for safe, long-term storage of radioactive waste in Denmark. In this context, the criteria for the repository must be defined in an extended group of experts and communicated to the public.
H105	Nina Weilandt		Against a final repository in Denmark
H106	N. Kleingarn, Wasser- und Bodenverband Sulsdorf a. Fehmarn	Environmental concern for the Baltic Sea	
H107	Norbert und Ingeborg Eppe	- Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially	Frustration about tunnel and other issues not related to the plan and SEA.

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
		through the Fehmarn tunnel. General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	
H108	Olaf Denker		Against a final repository in Denmark
H109	Oliver Specht	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that the facility will cover entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and connected fear that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.	
H110	Otto-Uwe Schmiedt, Bürgermeister	- Concerns that residents will choose to live elsewhere, all of which may cause structural degeneration of the area - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns regarding quality of their agricultural produces - Proximity to tunnel poses an additional safety concern for the facility	- References not provided in German, this makes participation difficult - Request to involve the municipality of Fehmarn in planning phase of facility and to keep a direct dialogue between me (the Mayor) and the Danish municipality for health and prevention (Nick Hækkerup) - Suspicion that the choice of location for the site is influenced by the tunnel works.
H111	Peter Joachim Witt		Against a final repository in Denmark
H112	Peter Koeneking	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H113	Peter und Elisabeth Rauert		Against a final repository in Denmark
H114	Philipp Schmagold, KV Kiel		Promotion of cross-border solutions to centralize deposits finding the most suitable and safe geologic location and minimize impact in case of accident, rather than finding national solutions and "spreading" the contamination.
H115	Reimer Dickert		Against a final repository in Denmark
H116	Reinhard Beyer	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. - Concerns of decline in fishing industry. - Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that the facility will cover entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and connected	

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
		<i>fear that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.</i>	
H117	Rechtsanwälte Günther (Michele John) (Response H117 is the attachment to response H100).		• Against a final repository in Denmark • (A petition, summary from a public meeting and technical assessments of the potential impacts of the planned waste facility are part of the letter and can be viewed in appendix 5)
H118	Renate und Rainer Voges	• General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. • Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse	• References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. • Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.
H119	Roland Türk	• General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. • Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse.	• References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. • Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.
H120	Rolf König	• Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. • Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially through the Fehmarn tunnel. • General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole.	• Frustration about tunnel and other issues not related to the plan and SEA.
H121	Rolf und Traute Sippel	• General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. • Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. • Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events.	
H122	Sabine Barg-Musolf		• Against a final repository in Denmark
H123	Sabine Hönemann		• Against a final repository in Denmark
H124	Sabine u. Sven Christensen		• Against a final repository in Denmark
H125	Sina Rickert		• Against a final repository in Denmark
H126	Sonja Freesemann		• Against a final repository in Denmark
H127	Stefan Ahrens	• Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. • Dynamic nature of the coast makes the site unsuitable for a nuclear waste facility	
H128	Stefan Anders	• Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. • Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area. • Concerns of decline in fishing industry • Concerns of nuclear waste transport from other European origins across Germany, and especially	

Statement		Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
		through the Fehmarn tunnel. - Concerns that house prices will fall significantly. - Is worried that the facility will cover entire area that qualifies for waste deposit (20km²) and connected fear that radioactive waste will arrive from other European countries.	
H129	Susan Paugh		Against a final repository in Denmark
H130	Susanne Brelowski	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.
H131	Svenja Susann Kütther		Against a final repository in Denmark
H132	Sylvia Bolte		Against a final repository in Denmark
H133	Thes Rauert		Against a final repository in Denmark
H134	Umweltinstitut München e.V.	- Proximity to the sea raises concerns due to possible flooding events. - Impression from documents that all of the six possible areas show faults and none actually is suitable. - Comment that Baltic sea already shows a high level of radioactive contamination and works as a sink for waste of all kinds due to its enclosed shape. - Numbers for expected amount of waste to be deposited cannot be found in the background documents. - It is mentioned that highly radioactive waste such as nuclear fuel elements pose different requirements to the safety of the facility. - Concerns connected to radioactive contamination of Baltic Sea include contamination of fish - adverse impacts on fishing industry	
H135	Ute Muxfeldt-Leman		Against a final repository in Denmark
H136	Ute Schmidtsdorff		Against a final repository in Denmark
H137	Volker Weidemann	- General security concerns about an accident's impacts on human health and the area's biology as a whole. - Concerns originating from the experience with German waste depot Asse	- References mainly given in Danish, citizens do not get the chance to be sufficiently informed. - Criticism that adverse effects on human health have not been addressed in the assessment for all six possible sites.
H138	Volker Weidemann	See above.	
H139	Walter Meß	Concerns that tourism will decline on Fehmarn, which is the major economic driver for the area.	
H140	Wiebke Weilandt		Against a final repository in Denmark
H141	Wolfgang Debus	Concerns about effect on human health and ground water.	
I1	SGU - Sveriges geologiske undersökning		Has no comments on the environmental report but emphasizes that the following application should be processed in accordance with the procedure for environmental impact assessments.

Statement	Comments regarding the plan or the environmental report	Other comments regarding process, alternatives, proposals etc.
I2 Miljöorganisationerna kärnavfallsgranskningar, MKG	• 233 kg of the waste is considered as high-level radioactive waste, and therefore problems with categorizing the waste. • Should establish a repository which is 3-500 meters deep. • The type of repository should be decided before choosing location. • Should learn from the experiences from Swedish final repository include with respect to groundwater.	• Sweden is interested in participating in the process regarding environmental assessment of the repository. • The Danish process is not based on the voluntary principle. • One of the three strategies in the Danish plans is that all radioactive waste is exported, which is considered doubtful. Should plan for exporting a small part of the waste instead. • Recommends that Denmark initiate closer cooperation with the Swedish "Strålsäkerhetsmyndigheten, SSM".
I3 Naturvårdsverket		• Naturvårdsverket (Environmental Protection Agency) has received the plan and environmental report and has received seven replies, of which "Havs- och Vattenmyndigheten" and "Region Skåne" had no comment. "Swedish Geological Survey" has no comment on this basis, but emphasizes that the application must be processed in accordance with the EIA legislation. • The other responses are summarized in I1, I2, I4, I5 and I6.
I4 KÄRNAVFALLSRÅDET, Swedish National Council for Nuclear Waste		• Consider that the responsible authorities in Denmark should consult with the Swedish "Strålsäkerhetsmyndigheten" (SSM) and "Finska Strålsäkerhetscentralen" (STUK) since they have extensive experience with such repositories.
I5 Strålsäkerhetsmyndigheten, SSM		• The authority cannot on the basis of the available SEA-report assess environmental impacts, and look forward to continuing to participate in the process.
I6 Länsstyrelsen Skåne, Annelie Johansson. Niklas Hansson	• Propose to compare the alternatives in a table to create a better overview. • Classification of waste must be settled before selecting a location - should be compared with the Swedish classification methods. • It is important to examine climate change after 2100 as well, including rising sea levels, increased rainfall, landslides and changing groundwater levels.	• Risk assessments should also be brought together in tabular form. • Experiences from other countries should be involved and it should be examined whether the waste can be exported.
J1 Department of Assessment of Effects on the Environment	• Request that it is clarified how the transport of waste to Bornholm will not result in any significant cross-border environmental impacts. • Emphasize that in accordance to the guidelines of IAEA it is only short-lived low and intermediate-level radioactive waste that may be disposed in the proposed disposal storage site hence they request to be informed what the plan is if Denmark fail to find a country willing to accept the special waste (radioactive fuel from a research reactor). • Concerns about the location on Bornholm due to the possibility of the radioactive substances leaking through cracks in the substrate. • If the waste storage site is built on Bornholm they request additional information.	• They request that they get informed about the next stages of the project.